



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Intellectual Property Dept.  
Dewitt Ross & Stevens SC  
2 East Mifflin Street  
Suite 600  
Madison WI 53703-2865

MAILED

OCT 15 2010

In re Application of	:	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
Paul Raymond Rust et al.	:	
Application No. 10/701,153	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: November 3, 2003	:	
Attorney Docket No. 11501.007	:	

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed August 20, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed April 15, 2009, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on July 16, 2009. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on November 24, 2009.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an amendment, (2) the petition fee of \$1,620 and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the amendment is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

A duplicate petition was submitted by an attorney for applicant. The typed name of Charles S. Sara was not accompanied by a signature. The signature on the petition of Joseph T. Leone is proper signed.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kimberly Inabinet at (571) 272-4618.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3635 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the reply received August 20, 2010.

/Kimberly Inabinet/

Kimberly Inabinet  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions